

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,555		10/31/2003	Carol D. Snyder	FSHR-076/00US	8403
22903	7590	07/13/2006		EXAMINER	
COOLEY ATTN: PA		ARD LLP	WILLIAMS, JAMILA O		
THE BOY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		, N.W. SUITE 800	3722		
WASHIN	GTON, I	OC 20005-2221	DATE MAILED: 07/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/697,555	SNYDER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jamila O. Williams	3722	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-8,10,11,13-22 and 24-32 is/are penda) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8,10,11,13-22 and 24-32 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	wn from consideration. ected. or election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to by the B		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

The finality of the action mailed 2-16-2006 has been withdrawn in view of the rejections below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4,6,7,8,10,30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 878,810 to Letzkus in view of 408,635 to Sommer and further in view of 6,485,349 to Snyder et al (hereinafter Snyder). Letzkus discloses a body having a first and second end, an input aperture defined proximate the first end of the body and an output aperture defined proximate the second end of the body and spaced apart from the input aperture (fig 1), a passage disposed in the body and extending from the input to output apertures (fig 2), at least one member disposed in the passage and being configured to redirect a movement of a solid object (members 11). Letzkus does not however disclose having a plurality of viewing apertures defined by the body and communicating with the passage nor the sensory output generator and actuator coupled thereto, as recited in claim 1.

Sommer teaches having a plurality of viewing apertures (I). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use

Art Unit: 3722

the apertures of Sommer with the body of Letzkus for the purpose of viewing the object therein.

Snyder teaches having a sensory output generator (speaker 132) and an actuator coupled thereto (object sensor 142). The actuator configured to provide input to the sensory output generator upon detecting movement of the object (col 4 lines 38-44 and col 5 lines 20-34). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the output generator and actuator of Snyder with the device of Letzkus (modified by Sommer) for the purpose of providing more amusement for the user.

Letzkus (modified by Sommer and Snyder) discloses that the input aperture and output aperture are substantially vertically disposed with respect to one another (fig 1-2 of Letzkus), as recited in claim 2.

Letzkus (modified by Sommer and Snyder) discloses at least one member (11) configured to redirect the movement of the object as it passes through the passage (fig 2 of Letzkus), as recited in claim 3.

Letzkus (modified by Sommer and Snyder) discloses that the apparatus resembles a toy giraffe (the examiner takes the position that the device in figure 1-2 of Letzkus resembles a toy giraffe), as recited in claim 4.

Letzkus (modified by Sommer and Snyder) discloses the sensory output is at least one of visual and audible (col 4 lines 5-10 of Snyder), as recited in claim 6; wherein the actuator is a compression switch (Column 4 lines 58-62 of Snyder the use of various types of actuators to sense movement of the object. In that compression

Art Unit: 3722

switches are well known actuators for this purpose it would have been obvious to use this type of actuator as suited for the intended use. It is further noted that applicant has given no criticality to the use of this type of actuator in paragraph 1021 of the specification), as recited in claim 7.

Letzkus (modified by Sommer and Snyder) discloses the actuator is located substantially at the output aperture and configured to generate a sensory output when the object exits the output aperture (see figure 5 of Snyder, aperture 122 functions as an input/output aperture in the preferred embodiment col 5 lines 43-47 of Snyder), as recited in claim 8; wherein the actuator is triggered by engagement by the object (col 4 lines 38-61 of Snyder), as recited in claim 10.

Letzkus (modified by Sommer and Snyder) discloses the body having a base configured to support the body on a surface, the base being disposed within a plane the output aperture being in a plane substantially orthogonal to the plane in which the base is disposed (fig 1-2 of Letzkus), as recited in claim 30.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 878,810 to Letzkus in view of 408,635 to Sommer and further in view of 6,485,349 to Snyder et al (hereinafter Snyder) and further in view of 5,100,141 to Fitch. Letzkus (modified by Sommer and Snyder) disclose all elements of the claims but for the object being a toy block. Fitch teaches having a device that includes a toy block (dice). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the toy block of Fitch with the device of Letzkus (modified by Sommer and Snyder) for the purpose of providing more play value to the user.

Claims 11,13-22,24-29,31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 5,100,141 to Fitch or 5,197,735 to Land et al (hereinafter Land) in view of 6,485,349 to Snyder et al (hereinafter Snyder).

Both Fitch and Land disclose a method comprising receiving a toy block at an input aperture defined at a first end of a channel, the channel disposed within a body having a base configured to support the body on a surface, the base being disposed within a plane (fig1 of Fitch and fig 3 of Land, although marbles 36 are shown in this embodiment of Land, col 3 lines 63-68 teach that dice can also be used, thus satisfying the limitation for a toy block).

Both Fitch and Land disclose the method step of displacing the toy block along the channel and redirecting the toy block (col 3 lines 49-52 or col 4 lines 21-28 of Fitch and col 4 lines 4-18 of Land) and receiving the block at an output aperture defined at a second end of the channel and being substantially vertically offset from the input aperture, the output aperture being in a plane substantially orthogonal to the plane in which the base is disposed (opening 20 of Fitch and opening 42 of Land).

Neither of these references disclose the step of generating an output via an output generator when the toy block is received at the output aperture.

Snyder teaches having a sensory output generator (speaker 132) and an actuator coupled thereto (object sensor 142). The actuator configured to provide input to the sensory output generator upon detecting movement of the object at the output aperture (col 4 lines 38-44 and col 5 lines 20-34). It would have been obvious to one having

Art Unit: 3722

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the output generator and actuator of Snyder with the device of Fitch or Land for the purpose of providing more amusement for the user.

Fitch or Land (modified by Snyder) discloses displacing the toy block by a gravitational force (fig 1 of Fitch, Fig 3 of Land), as recited in claim 13.

Fitch or Land (modified by Snyder) discloses the method of generating an output includes generating a sensory output (col 4 lines 5-10 of Snyder), as recited in claim 14; wherein the output generator generates the output based on engagement of an actuator by the toy block (col 4 lines 38-44 and col 5 lines 20-34 of Snyder), as recited in claim 15.

Fitch or Land (modified by Snyder) discloses the actuator is a compression switch, the method further comprising depressing the compression switch in response to engagement of the actuator by the toy block. Column 4 lines 58-62 of Snyder the use of various types of actuators to sense movement of the object. In that compression switches are well known actuators for this purpose it would have been obvious to use this type of actuator as suited for the intended use. It is further noted that applicant has given no criticality to the use of this type of actuator in paragraph 1021 of the specification, as recited in claim 16.

Fitch or Land (modified by Snyder) discloses the guiding structure having an input and output (fig 1 of Fitch, fig 3 of Land), an actuator disposed adjacent the output (fig 5 of Snyder), a plurality of viewing apertures defined by the guiding structure configured to permit viewing of the object as it moves from input to output (figure 4

Art Unit: 3722

element 58 of Fitch and figure 3 elements 12 of Land), an output generator coupled to the actuator and configured to generate an output in response engagement of the actuator by a solid object (col 4 lines 38-44 and col 5 lines 20-34 of Snyder), as recited in claim 17.

Fitch or Land (modified by Snyder) discloses at least one member configured to redirect a path of the object as it is displaced from the input to output (elements 48,50,60 or door 40 of Fitch; elements 16 of Land), as recited in claim 18; wherein the object is a toy block (dice), as recited claim 19.

Fitch or Land (modified by Snyder) discloses the output is one of an audible and a visual output (col 4 lines 5-10 of Snyder), as recited in claim 20; wherein the actuator is configured to be engaged by the object as it passes through the guiding structure to the output (col 4 lines 38-61 of Snyder), as recited in claim 21; wherein the actuator is a compression switch (Column 4 lines 58-62 of Snyder the use of various types of actuators to sense movement of the object. In that compression switches are well known actuators for this purpose it would have been obvious to use this type of actuator as suited for the intended use. It is further noted that applicant has given no criticality to the use of this type of actuator in paragraph 1021 of the specification), as recited in claim 22.

Regarding claim 24, Land (modified by Snyder) discloses a guiding structure that resembles a toy giraffe (fig 3 of Land).

Regarding claims 25-26, Fitch or Land (modified by Snyder) discloses a body having a first and second end, an input aperture proximate the first end and an output

Art Unit: 3722

aperture proximate the second end, a passage disposed in the body and extending from the input to output, at least one member disposed in the passage to redirect a movement of a toy block (see figures 1-3 of Fitch, figure 3 of Land); a sensory output generator and actuator coupled thereto, disposed to detect movement of a toy block through the passage and to provide an input to the sensory output generator upon detecting movement of the block (col 4 lines 38-61 of Snyder). Figures 1-3 of Fitch and Figure 3 of Land also disclose having viewing apertures as claimed.

Regarding claim 27, see the rejection of claim 11 above.

Regarding claims 28-29, see rejections above (for example the rejection of claims 25-26).

Regarding claim 31, Fitch or Land (modified by Snyder) discloses a body including a base configured to support the body on a surface, the base being disposed within a plane, the output aperture being in a plane substantially orthogonal to the plane in which the base is disposed (see figures 1-4 of Fitch and Figure 3 of Land).

Regarding claim 32, see rejections above (for example the rejection of claims 25-26).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/11/2006 with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3722

Conclusion

Page 9

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. This is simply art of interest and was not used to reject any claims in this office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamila O. Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-4431. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Monica Carter can be reached on 571-272-3484. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JW 7/7/2006

DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700